IEHP UM Subcommittee Approved Authorization Guidelines

Video Electroencephalogram (EEG)

I. Policy:
A. Video EEG is to be used after routine EEG and ambulatory EEG testing have been completed and the diagnosis remains inconclusive (Medicare, 2015). Non-epileptic seizures secondary to other diagnoses (e.g. syncope, transient ischemic attacks, narcolepsy, etc.) should have been ruled out prior to video EEG testing (Apollo, 2017). IEHP considers the use of standard EEG testing with video monitoring as medically necessary for all of the following:
   i. To diagnose epilepsy in cases where routine EEG and ambulatory EEG have been inconclusive
   ii. To differentiate epileptic seizures from psychogenic non-epileptic seizures (PNES)
   iii. For patients being considered for surgical management of epileptic seizures, localize the foci of epileptiform activity
   iv. To establish the type and pattern of epilepsy in order to guide treatment, especially in patients whose seizures are refractory to conventional treatment despite compliance with prescribed medication regimens
B. Newborns with hypoxic-ischemic encephalopathy treated with hypothermia
C. IEHP considers all other conditions to be investigational and/or not medically necessary.
D. The initial goal length of stay for Video EEG would be 23-hour observation. However, the event being monitored may not occur in this timeframe. Admission may be necessary for further monitoring or for pre-operative location of seizure foci prior to resective epilepsy surgery. Authorization for additional length of stay is provided on a per day basis. The period of study varies – usually not to exceed seven (7) days. Based on clinical review, up to 4 days of inpatient stay may be authorized without physician review. (Medicare 2015, Anthem 2017)

II. Background:
A. An Electroencephalogram (EEG) is the recording of electrical activity along the scalp immediately adjacent to the superficial area of the cerebral cortex. An EEG measures voltage fluctuations resulting from ionic current flows within the neurons of the brain. A video EEG is typically done in an inpatient setting with continuous EEG monitoring concurrent with video and sound recording of the patient. The purpose of this method of study is to capture the recorded physical movements from a stated seizure at the same time as brain wave patterns (electrical activity). This helps to determine if the physical movements correlate with brain wave patterns that are consistent with a diagnosis of epilepsy. It also helps to identify the type of seizure and specific area of the brain that is involved, based on where the spikes are in the EEG and which parts of the body are
activated by the epileptic event.

B. Inpatient video EEG is considered the gold standard for definitively diagnosing psychogenic non-epileptic seizures (PNES) (LaFrance 2013). In addition, video EEG monitoring is considered a necessary step in determining candidacy for epilepsy surgery (Mansouri 2012).

C. Benefits of inpatient video EEG include:
   i. Ability to use provocative measures (such as flashing lights, sleep deprivation, or hyperventilation) in a controlled setting in order to induce epileptic activity and capture epileptiform activity on EEG recording (Mansouri 2012)
   ii. More accurately localize the seizure focus (epileptogenic zone) in patients who are being considered for surgical management (Benbadis 2004)
   iii. Correctly diagnose the seizure type and epilepsy syndrome, including differentiating between epileptic and non-epileptic seizure activity (Benbadis 2004, Kumar-Pelayo 2013)

Effective Date: February 28, 2008
Reviewed Annually: November 9, 2016

Revised:
December 18, 2017

References:
8. Mansouri A, Fallah A, Valiante TA. Determining surgical candidacy in temporal lobe

Disclaimer

IEHP Clinical Authorization Guidelines (CAG) are developed to assist in administering plan benefits, they do not constitute a description of plan benefits. The Clinical Authorization Guidelines (CAG) express IEHP's determination of whether certain services or supplies are medically necessary, experimental and investigational, or cosmetic. IEHP has reached these conclusions based upon a review of currently available clinical information (including clinical outcome studies in the peer-reviewed published medical literature, regulatory status of the technology, evidence-based guidelines of public health and health research agencies, evidence-based guidelines and positions of leading national health professional organizations, views of physicians practicing in relevant clinical areas, and other relevant factors). IEHP makes no representations and accepts no liability with respect to the content of any external information cited or relied upon in the Clinical Authorization Guidelines (CAG). IEHP expressly and solely reserves the right to revise the Clinical Authorization Guidelines (CAG), as clinical information changes.